Table A – Results of Internal Review Committee

Date of Report:	March, 2005			
Water Body Under Evaluation Identification #: Name: Length of Segment: County (ies):	on: 0176 Paris Branch (C) 3.0 miles Lincoln			
Use Attainability Analysis S Identification #: Submitter: Date Received: Use Evaluated:	tudy: 1001 MEC Water Resources, Inc April 27, 2005 Whole Body Contact Recre		8)	
UAA Completeness Check: All Required Information Included? Correct Forms Used? Forms Signed and Dated? Comments:			☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
UAA Criteria Evaluated:	☐ 1-Natural Pollutant Sou ☐ 2-Natural, Ephemeral, I ☐ 3-Non-Remedial, Huma ☐ 4-Hydrologic Modificat ☐ 5-Natural Physical Feat ☐ 6-Substantial, Widespre	Intermittent or an Caused Cortions. ures.	ndition.	
Use Determination & Recon Has the use existed si Comments:	nmendation: ince November 28, 1975?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ Unknown
stream 3 sites not pr	attainable? (AA was conducted in October did not meet the maximum sevaluated. Extensive intervovided. Because the stream is mends that the WBCR designation in the conducted in	or average de eiws and/or h s very shallow	epth criterion at istorical inform v, the committed	any of the ation were
Committee Recommend	dation: Retain Use	Modify Use ∑	Remove Use	☐ Inconclusive
Committee Members:	Stacia Bax, Ann Crawford,	Donna Meno	own	
	177 _4 =	Duotostion 6	Cail Canaarti	on Division



Water Protection & Soil Conservation Division
Water Protection Program
Use Attainability Analysis
Toll Free (800) 361-4827